

Version I.0

"Developed from the UNFCCC methodological conception".





Version I.0 – Scope 17

### I. SCOPE, APPLICABILITY AND PARAMETERS

### Scope

This tool can be used for estimation of change in carbon stock in soil organic carbon (SOC) due to implementation of a ZERO2NATURE<sup>TM</sup>-PREFOR project activity. This tool uses the following specific definition: Soil disturbance is an anthropic activity that results in release of soil organic carbon into the atmosphere, e.g. ploughing, ripping, scarification, digging of pits and trenches, stump removal, drainage of soil, etc

### **Applicability**

This tool is applicable when the areas of land, the baseline scenario, and the ZERO2NATURE<sup>TM</sup>-PREFOR project activity meet the following conditions:

The areas of land to which this tool is applied:

- (i) Do not fall into wetland category; or
- (ii) Do not contain organic soils as defined in .Annex A: glossary of the IPCC GPG LULUCF 2003;
- (iii) Are not subject to any of the land management practices and application of inputs as listed in the Tables I and 2;
- (b) The ZERO2NATURE™-PREFOR project activity meets the following conditions:
- (i) Waste remains on site and is not removed in the ZERO2NATURE™-PREFOR project activity;

and

- (ii) Soil disturbance attributable to the ZERO2NATURE<sup>TM</sup>-PREFOR project activity, if any, is:
- In accordance with appropriate soil conservation practices;
- Limited to soil disturbance for site preparation before planting and such disturbance is not repeated in less than twenty years.

This tool applies the following assumptions for estimation of change in SOC stock:

- (a) Site preparation and planting take place within a year of each other;
- (b) Implementation of a ZERO2NATURE<sup>TM</sup>-PREFOR project activity increases the SOC content of the lands from the pre-project level to the level that is equal to the steady-state SOC content under native vegetation;
- (c) The increase in SOC content in the project scenario takes place at a constant rate over a



Version I.0 – Scope 17

period of 20 years from the year of planting.

#### **Parameters**

Parameter	Unit	Description
$\Delta SOC_{A\!L,y}$	t EIP	Change in SOC stock in areas of land meeting the above applicability conditions, in year y

#### ESTIMATING CHANGE IN SOC STOCK

To estimate the change in SOC stock in the project scenario, the areas of land meeting the applicability conditions of the tool are stratified2 according to:

- (a) Climate region and soil types given in Table 3;
- (b) Pre-project management activities on croplands given in Tables 4 and 5; and
- (c) Pre-project management activities on grasslands given in Table 6.

The initial SOC stock at the start of the project is estimated as follows:

$$SOC_{INITIAL,i} = SOC_{REF,i} * f_{LU,i} * f_{MG,i} * f_{IN,i}$$
 (1)

where:

 $SOC_{INITIAL,i}$  SOC stock at the beginning of the ZERO2NATURE-PREFOR project activity in stratum  $i$  of the areas of land; t C ha<sup>-1</sup>
 $SOC_{REF,i}$  Reference SOC stock corresponding to the reference condition in native lands (i.e. non-degraded, unimproved lands under native vegetation – normally forest) by climate region and soil type applicable to stratum  $i$  of the areas of land; t C ha<sup>-1</sup>
 $f_{LU,i}$  Relative stock change factor for baseline land-use in stratum  $i$  of the areas of land; dimensionless

 $f_{MG,i}$  Relative stock change factor for baseline management regime in stratum  $i$  of the areas of land; dimensionless

 $f_{IN,i}$  Relative stock change factor for baseline input regime (e.g. crop residue returns, manure) in stratum  $i$  of the areas of land; dimensionless

 $i$   $1, 2, 3, ...$  strata of areas of land; dimensionless



Version I.0 – Scope 17

The values of  $SOC_{REF,i}$   $f_{LU,i}$   $f_{MG,i}$  and  $f_{IN,i}$ , are taken from the Tables 3.6 of this tool, unless transparent and verifiable information can be provided to justify different values.

For each stratum of the areas of land which is subjected to soil disturbance attributable to project activity and for which the total area disturbed, over and above the area disturbed in the baseline (if any), is greater than 10% of the area of the stratum, the following carbon loss is accounted:

$$SOC_{LOSS,i} = SOC_{INITIAL,i} * 0.1$$
 (2)

For all other strata:

$$SOC_{LOSSi} = 0 (3)$$

where:

 $SOC_{LOSS,i}$  Loss of SOC caused by soil disturbance attributable the ZERO2NATURE-PREFOR project activity, in stratum i of the areas of land; t C ha<sup>-1</sup>

0.1 The approximate proportion of SOC lost within the first five years from the year of site preparation

i 1, 2, 3, ... strata of areas of land; dimensionless

The rate of change in SOC stock in project scenario is reached until the steady-state SOC content is estimated as follows:



Version I.0 – Scope 17

$$dSOC_{y,i} = 0 \quad \text{for } y < y_{PREP,i} \tag{4}$$

$$dSOC_{y,i} = -\frac{SOC_{LOSS,i}}{1 \ vear} \quad \text{for y = y_{PREP,i}}$$

$$dSOC_{yi} = \frac{SOC_{REF,i} - (SOC_{INITIAL,i} - SOC_{LOSS,i})}{20 \ years} \quad \text{for } y_{PREP,i} < t \le y_{PREP,i} + 20$$
 (6)

where:

 $dSOC_{t,i}$  The rate of change in SOC stock in stratum *i* of the areas of land, in yeary;

 $y_{PREP,i}$  The year in which first soil disturbance takes place in stratum i of the areas of land

 $SOC_{LOSS,i}$  Loss of SOC caused by soil disturbance attributable the ZERO2NATURE-PREFOR project activity, in stratum i of the areas of land; t C ha<sup>-1</sup>

SOC<sub>REF,i</sub>

Reference SOC stock corresponding to the reference condition in native lands (i.e. non-degraded, unimproved lands under native vegetation – normally forest) by climate region and soil type applicable to stratum i of the areas of land;

t C ha<sup>-1</sup>

SOC stock at the beginning of the ZERO2NATURE-PREFOR project activity in stratum i of the areas of land; t C ha<sup>-1</sup>

i 1, 2, 3, ... strata of areas of land; dimensionless

t 1, 2, 3, ... years elapsed since the start of the ZERO2NATURE-PREFOR project activity

Considering uncertainties and inherent limitation of the precision of a factor-based estimation used in this tool, value of the rate of change of SOC stock is not accounted as more than 0.8 t C ha-I yr-I, that is:

If 
$$dSOC_{ti} > 0.8 \text{ t C ha}^{-1} \text{yr}^{-1} \text{ then } dSOC_{ti} = 0.8 \text{ t C ha}^{-1} \text{yr}^{-1}$$
 (7)

The change in SOC stock for all the strata of the areas of land, in year t, is calculated as:



Version I.0 – Scope 17

$$\Delta SOC_{AL,t} = \frac{44}{12} * \sum_{i} A_{i} * dSOC_{t,i} * 1 year$$
(8)

where:

 $\triangle SOC_{AL,t}$  Change in SOC stock in areas of land meeting the applicability conditions of this

tool, in year y, t EIP

 $A_i$  The area of stratum i of the areas of land; ha

 $dSOC_{t,i}$  The rate of change in SOC stocks in stratum i of the areas of land; t C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>

i 1, 2, 3, ... strata of areas of land; dimensionless





Table 1: Baseline cropland management practices under which the tool is not applicable

Temperature / Moisture			
Regime	Land use	Management	Inputs
		Full tillage	High with manure
		Reduced tillage	High with manure
	Long-term cultivated cropland		High without
		No-till	manure
Boreal			High with manure
Doreal		Full tillage	High with manure
		Reduced tillage	High with manure
	Short-term or set aside cropland		High without
		No-till	manure
			High with manure
		Full tillage	High with manure
	Long-term cultivated cropland	Reduced tillage	High with manure
		No-till	High with manure
Temperate, cold, dry		Full tillage	High with manure
Temperate, cold, dry		Reduced tillage	High with manure
	Short-term or set aside cropland	No-till	Medium
			High without
			manure
	T ttit-dld	Reduced tillage	High with manure
	Long-term cultivated cropland	No-till	High with manure
		Full tillage	High with manure
Temperate, cold, moist		Reduced tillage	High with manure
	Short-term or set aside cropland		High without
		No-till	manure
			High with manure
		Full tillage	High with manure
	Long-term cultivated cropland	Reduced tillage	High with manure
		No-till	High with manure
T		Full tillage	High with manure
Temperate, warm, dry		Reduced tillage	High with manure
	Short-term or set aside cropland		Medium
		No-till	High without
			manure
		<del>-</del>	1



Temperature / Moisture		1	
Regime	Land use	Management	Inputs
- Comme	Zilla use	Full tillage	High with manure
	Long-term cultivated cropland	Reduced tillage	High with manure
	Long-term cultivated cropiand	No-till	-
			High with manure
Temperate, warm, dry		Full tillage	High with manure
		Reduced tillage	High with manure
	Short-term or set aside cropland		Medium
		No-till	High without
			manure
	Long-term cultivated cropland	Reduced tillage	High with manure
	Long-term cultivated cropiand	No-till	High with manure
		Full tillage	High with manure
Temperate, warm, moist		Reduced tillage	High with manure
	Short-term or set aside cropland		High without
	•	No-till	manure
			High with manure
		Full tillage	High with manure
		I un unage	Medium
			High without
Tropical, dry	Short-term or set aside cropland	Reduced tillage	manure
		**	High with manure
		No-till	All cases
Tropical, moist	Short-term or set aside cropland	Full tillage	High with manure
			High without
		Reduced tillage	manure
			High with manure
			High without
		No-till	manure
			High with manure
	Long-term cultivated cropland	No-till	High with manure
		Full tillage	High with manure
			High without
		Reduced tillage	manure
Tropical, montane	Short-term or set aside cropland		High with manure
	•		Medium
		No-till	High without manure
		Full tillage	High with manure High with manure
		rui unage	High without
		Reduced tillage	manure
Tropical, wet	Short-term or set aside cropland	-seaucea minge	High with manure
aropiem, net	Sacrification of set asset cropman		High without
		No-till	manure
			High with manure
	1		



Table 2: Baseline grassland management practices under which the tool is not applicable

Temperature / Moisture Regime	Management	Inputs
	Improved	All
Boreal	Non-degraded	All
	Moderately degraded	High
	Improved	All
Temperate, cold, dry	Non-degraded	All
	Moderately degraded	High

Temperature / Moisture Regime	Management	Inputs
	Improved	All
Temperate, cold, moist	Non-degraded	All
	Moderately degraded	High
	Improved	All
Temperate, warm, dry	Non-degraded	All
	Moderately degraded	High
	Improved	All
Temperate, warm, moist	Non-degraded	All
	Moderately degraded	High
Tropical, dry	Improved	All
Tropical, dry	Non-degraded	All
	Improved	All
Tropical, moist	Non-degraded	All
	Moderately degraded	High
	Improved	All
Tropical, montane	Non-degraded	All
	Moderately degraded	High
	Improved	All
Tropical, wet	Non-degraded	High
	Moderately degraded	High



Table 3: Default reference SOC stocks (SOC<sub>REF</sub>) for mineral soils<sup>3</sup>
(tC ha<sup>-1</sup> in 0-30 cm depth)

		-	_		
Climate region	HAC soils <sup>(a)</sup>	LAC soils(b)	Sandy soils <sup>(c)</sup>	Spodic soils <sup>(d)</sup>	Volcanie soils <sup>(*)</sup>
Boreal	68	NA	10	117	20
Cold temperate, dry	50	33	34	NA	20
Cold temperate, moist	95	85	71	115	130
Warm temperate, dry	38	24	19	NA	70
Warm temperate,	88	63	34	NA	80
Tropical, dry	38	35	31	NA	50
Tropical, moist	65	47	39	NA	70
Tropical, wet	44	60	66	NA	130
Tropical montane	88	63	34	NA	80

<sup>(</sup>a) Soils with high activity clay (HAC) minerals are lightly to moderately weathered soils, which are dominated by 2:1 silicate clay minerals (in the World Reference Base for Soil Resources (WRB) classification these include Leptosols, Vertisols, Kastanozems, Chernozems, Phaeozems, Luvisols, Alisols, Albeluvisols, Solonetz, Calcisols, Gypsisols, Umbrisols, Cambisols, Regosols; in USDA classification includes Mollisols, Vertisols, high-base status Alfisols, Aridisols, Inceptisols);

<sup>(</sup>b) Soils with low activity clay (LAC) minerals are highly weathered soils, dominated by 1:1 clay minerals and amorphous iron and aluminium oxides (in WRB classification includes Acrisols, Lixisols, Nitisols, Ferralsols, Durisols; in USDA classification includes Ultisols, Oxisols, acidic Alfisols);

<sup>(</sup>e) Includes all soils (regardless of taxonomic classification) having > 70% sand and < 8% clay, based on standard textural analyses (in WRB classification includes Arenosols; in USDA classification includes Psamments);</p>

<sup>(</sup>d) Soils exhibiting strong podzolization (in WRB classification includes Podzols; in USDA classification Spodosols);

<sup>(</sup>e) Soils derived from volcanic ash with allophanic mineralogy (in WRB classification Andosols; in USDA classification Andisols)



# ESTIMATION OF CARBON STOCKS AND CHANGE IN CARBON STOCKS OF SOIL ORGANIC CARBON IN ZERO2NATURE $^{\text{\tiny TM}}$ -PREFOR PROJECT ACTIVITIES

Table 4: Relative stock change factors for different management activities on cropland (net effect over a period of 20 years) 4

Factor type	Level	Temperature regime	Moisture regime	Factor value	Description and criteria
		Temperate/ Boreal	Dry Moist	0.80 0.69	Area has been continuously managed for crops for more than
Land use	Long-term cultivated	Tropical	Dry Moist/Wet	0.58	20 years
		Tropical montane	n/a	0.64	
	Short-term	Temperate/	Dry	0.93	Area has been managed for crops
Land use	cultivated (< 20 yrs)	Boreal and Tropical	Moist/Wet	0.82	for less than 20 years and/or the area is cropland that has been in a
CLU	or set aside (< 5 years)	Tropical montane	n/a	0.88	fallow state for less than five years at any point during the last 20 years
Manage- ment (f <sub>MG</sub> )	Full tillage	All	Dry and Moist/Wet	1.00	Substantial soil disturbance with full inversion and/or frequent (within-year) tillage operations. At planting time, little (e.g. <30%) of the surface is covered by residues
		Temperate/	Dry	1.02	Primary and/or secondary tillage
Manage- ment Reduced		Boreal	Moist	1.08	but with reduced soil disturbance (usually shallow and without full
	Ti1	Dry	1.09	soil inversion). Normally leaves	
(f <sub>MG</sub> )	4:11	Tropical	Moist/ Wet	1.15	surface with >30% coverage by residues at planting
		Tropical montane	n/a	1.09	- condition of promising



Table 5: Relative stock change factors for different levels of nutrient input on cropland (net effect over a period of 20 years)<sup>5</sup>

Factor type	Level	Temperature regime	Moisture regime	Factor value	Description and criteria
		Temperate/	Dry	0.95	There is removal of residues (via
		Boreal	Moist	0.92	collection or burning), or frequent
Input	Low	T1	Dry	0.95	bare-fallowing, or production of
(f <sub>IN</sub> )	Low	Tropical	Moist/ Wet	0.92	crops yielding low residues (e.g. vegetables, tobacco, cotton), or no
		Tropical montane	n/a	0.94	mineral fertilization or N-fixing crops
					All crop residues are returned to the field. If residues are removed then supplemental organic matter
Input (f <sub>IN</sub> )	Medium	All	Dry and Moist/ Wet	1.00	(e.g. manure) is added. Additionally, mineral fertilization or N-fixing crop rotation is practised
		Temperate/ Boreal and	Dry	1.04	Represents significantly greater crop residue inputs over medium C input cropping systems due to additional practices, such as production of high residue
Input (fin)	High with- out manure	Tropical	Moist/ Wet	1.11	yielding crops, use of green manures, cover crops, improved vegetated fallows, irrigation, frequent use of perennial grasses
		Tropical Montane	n/a	1.08	in annual crop rotations, but without manure applied





Version I.0 – Scope 17

Table 6: Relative stock change factors  $(f_{LU}, f_{MG}, \text{ and } f_{IN})$  for grassland management (net effect over a period of 20 years) <sup>6</sup>

Factor type	Level	Climate regime	Factor value	Description
Land use (f <sub>LU</sub> )	All	All	1.00	All permanent grassland is assigned a land-use factor of l
Management (f <sub>MG</sub> )	Non-degraded grassland	All	1.00	Non-degraded and sustainably managed grassland, but without significant management improvements
	Moderately	Temperate/Bore al	0.95	Overgrazed or moderately degraded grassland, with somewhat reduced
Management (f <sub>MG</sub> )	degraded grassland	Tropical	0.97	productivity (relative to the native or
		Tropical Montane	0.96	nominally managed grassland) and receiving no management inputs
Management (f <sub>MG</sub> )	Severely degraded	All	0.70	Lands are identified as degraded lands using the "Tool for the identification of degraded or degrading lands for consideration in implementing CDM A/R project activities"
Input (f <sub>IN</sub> )	Low/Medium	All	1.00	All grassland without input of fertilizers is assigned an input factor of 1
Input (/N/)	High	All	1.11	Grasslands with direct application of fertilizers - organic or inorganic

### III. REFERENCES

IPCC, 2006. 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, Eggleston H.S., Buendia L., Miwa K., Ngara T. and Tanabe K. (eds). Published: IGES, Japan.

<a href="http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/index.html">http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/index.html</a>.

IPCC, 2003. *Good Practice Guidance for Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry*, prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, Jim Penman, Michael Gytarsky, Taka Hiraishi, Thelma Krug, Dina Kruger, Ritta Pipatti, Leandro Buendia, Kyoko Miwa, Todd Ngara (eds). Published: IGES, Japan.

<a href="http://www.ipcc nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gpglulucf/gpglulucf.html">http://www.ipcc nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gpglulucf/gpglulucf.html</a>.

	Document information	
Version	Date	Description
1.0	May 8, 2012	Tool